



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,801	01/26/2004	Reiner Kraft	SVL920030117US1	9772
47069 7590 05/01/2008 KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP ATTN: IBM54 315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212				
EXAMINER				
LEE, WILSON				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2163				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/01/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/764,801

Applicant(s)

KRAFT ET AL.

Examiner

Wilson Lee

Art Unit

2163

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/27/08 (RCE).
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,7,10,16,19 and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-6,8,9,11-15,17,18,20-24,26 and 27 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/27/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR. 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued under 37 CFR 1.114, and fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/27/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 10, 16, 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0169770), its pub date is 11/14/02, cited in the IDS recently filed by applicant.

Regarding Claim 1, Kim discloses a method being executable in a computer for processing anchor text ("anchor text", paragraph 0068), comprising: forming a set of anchors (links) that point to a target document ("three web pages provide links to the XYZ Web page..." paragraph 0067), wherein each anchor is a path from a source document to the target document ("an originating page makes a link to a destination page" paragraph 0066); grouping together anchors with same anchor text ("the database also stores data that provides a ranking of each anchor text relative to the

other anchor texts with same destination URL...", paragraph 0068 and "Here document c represents all documents that contain a link to document a with the identical anchor text", paragraph 0070), wherein each anchor is associated with anchor text (the anchor text associated with each inbound link (hyperlink) to each Web page...", paragraph 0067); computing a relevance score for each group (the relevance score is inherent because Kim teaches the documents are ranked by the order of relevancy. Without any relevant score, document cannot be ranked. Paragraphs 0012, 0077 and abstract; "rank value" paragraph 0044, line 6); and generating context information for the target document ("a brief description of the destination page" can be generated by author of the originating page, see paragraph 0066) based on the computed relevance score ("A context-sensitive link examines ... the relevancy of the page to a given category" paragraph 0066), wherein a title is composed from text of a group ("title of a document", see Abstract. Also see paragraphs 0046, 0059) with a highest relevance score and a summary of the target document is composed from anchor texts of a number of groups with highest relevance scores ("a brief description of the destination page" can be viewed, paragraph 0066).

Regarding Claim 7, Kim discloses that computing the relevance score (score is inherent in order to rank the documents based on relevancy) further comprises: computing an accumulated rank for each group ("method 400 accumulates the weight of each document to the total weight of the collected documents" paragraph 0055; "accumulating the weight ... based on the rank value of each document with higher ranked document given more weight" Claim 20).

Regarding Claims 10 and 19, Kim teaches CPU (112), user input device (118), memory access device (114) and display (116). In addition to the details in the rejection of claim 1, Kim meets the limitations of claims 10 and 19.

Regarding Claims 16 and 25, in addition to the details in the rejection of claim 7, Kim meets the limitations of claims 10 and 19.

Allowable subject matter

Claims 2-6, 8, 9, 11-15, 17, 18, 20-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Wilson Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1824.

Papers related to the application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you

Art Unit: 2163

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Wilson Lee/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2163

4-28-08